

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/664,177	09/17/2003	Terry Zouboulakis	1761-004P/FS3	3955	
22831	7590 03/22/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ER CORNMAN GRO	LOFDAHL,	LOFDAHL, JORDAN M		
	ON AVENUE - 19th FLC , NY 10017	JOR	ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3644		

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application I	No.	Applicant(s)	9				
 ♥	10/664,177		ZOUBOULAKIS, TERF	RY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Jordan Lofda	hl	3644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	'S				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REATHER MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a control of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, I reply within the statutory od will apply and will ex tute, cause the applicati	nowever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days bire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23								
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.								
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Εχ paπe Quayi	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6 and 9-15 is/are pending in th	e application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consid	deration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6 and 9-15</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	a/or election requ	mement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any, objection to t	he drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	•							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	52.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been re	eceived.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been re	eceived in Application	on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents	s have been receive	ed in this National Stag	ge				
application from the International Bure	·	• • • •		•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ist of the certified	I copies not receive	d.					
	6		•					
Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A	☐ Interview Summary	(PTO 413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB//Paper No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) 6)		atent Application (PTO-152	2)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office								
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 2	0050311				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's argument that the adaptor of Fernandez does not permit interchangability between barrels and markers, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

The device of Fernandez discloses all the structural limitations as claimed and the functional language of the claims are not given patentable weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3, 6, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3644

Claims 3, 6, 12 and 13 recite "wherein said one or more muzzle extensions are of various lengths". The phrase is indefinite for a paintball marker having one muzzle extension. A marker with one muzzle extension can only have one length and not various lengths.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-4, 6 and 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fernandez (66668815).

As to claim 1, Fernandez discloses an adaptor (60) having a body with a hollow cylindrical tube and having two threaded ends; a marker end and a barrel end

connection; and the adaptor having an internal diameter equal to the internal diameter of a universal barrel; a tubular insert (90) which extends the entire length of the barrel and adaptor; the insert comprising a hollow tube able to be inserted in the barrel.

As to claims 3, 6 and 13, disclosed is a barrel (30); an adaptor (60); a muzzle (40); and an insert (90). The examiner reads the limitation of "one or more muzzle extensions" as only one muzzle extension with a single length.

As to claims 2, 4, 9, 14 and 15, disclosed is an inner diameter to at least about 0.695 inches (col. 4, lines 58-61).

As to claims 10 and 11, the method steps of the instant claim are readily apparent during the operation of the device of Fernandez.

As to claim 12, disclosed is a barrel (30), an adaptor (60) with a marker end that is capable of having different connection types; a muzzle (40) and a tubular sleeve (90).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 6 and 13 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 3644

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jordan Lofdahl whose telephone number is 703.605.1217. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 703.305.7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,177 Page 6

Art Unit: 3644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

iml

TERI PHAM LUU SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER